



Received: 23 June 2025

Revised: 2 July 2025

Accepted: 2 July 2025

LESSONS FROM PANDEMICS: RESILIENCE AND RECOVERY STRATEGIES IN THE WAKE OF COVID-19

Jamaluddin AHMAD¹, Ahmad MUSTANIR¹ and Kittisak WONGMAHESAK^{2*}

1 Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidenreng Rappang, Indonesia;
jahmadlado@yahoo.co.id (J. A.); ahmadmustanir74@gmail.com (A. M.)

2 North Bangkok University, Thailand; Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidenreng Rappang, Indonesia; Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia; Shinawatra University, Thailand; kittisak.wongmahesak@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

Handling Editor:

Associate Professor Dr. Bounmy KEOHAVONG Souphanouvong University, Lao PDR.
(This article belongs to the Theme 2: Healthy Living in the Digital Age)

Reviewers:

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 1) Professor Dr. Ismail Suardi WEKKE | UM BARRU, Indonesia |
| 2) Assistant Professor Dr. Ahmad HAKKAN | Unismuh Makassar, Indonesia |
| 3) Assistant Professor Dr. Srirath GOHWONG | Kasetsart University, Thailand |

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic precipitated unprecedented global challenges, exposing vulnerabilities in healthcare systems and necessitating a re-evaluation of pandemic preparedness and response strategies. This review article examines the diverse approaches employed by nations across varying levels of development – developed, developing, and less developed – to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19 and facilitate recovery. By comparatively analyzing policy interventions, healthcare resource allocation, community engagement initiatives, and technological adaptations, this study identifies key lessons learned for enhancing resilience in future pandemics. Findings reveal that effective pandemic response necessitates robust healthcare infrastructure, decisive leadership characterized by transparency and community engagement, equitable access to resources, and the strategic integration of technology. Furthermore, fostering international collaboration and addressing social determinants of health are crucial for building resilient systems. The analysis underscores the importance of adaptable and context-specific strategies tailored to each nation's unique socioeconomic environment. The lessons gleaned from COVID-19 offer a roadmap for policymakers to strengthen pandemic preparedness, promote health equity, and enhance global health security, ensuring a more resilient and equitable response to future health crises. The study emphasizes the need for proactive measures that prioritize community wellbeing and global cooperation to face future health challenges effectively.

Keywords: Pandemic, COVID-19, Resilience, Recovery, Public Health Policy

Citation Information: Ahmad, J., Mustanir, A., & Wongmahesak, K. (2025). Lessons from Pandemics: Resilience and Recovery Strategies in the Wake of COVID-19. *Thai Science, Technology and Health Review*, 1(1), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.14456/tsthr.2025.3>

Introduction

The advent of the COVID-19 pandemic has catalyzed unprecedented changes in public health practices, policies, and perceptions across the globe. Emerging in late 2019, COVID-19 rapidly transformed into a multi-dimensional crisis affecting healthcare systems, economies, and social structures, thereby underscoring the need for effective public health governance and policy-making. This review article aims to dissect the lessons learned from the pandemic, focusing on resilience and recovery strategies adopted by countries at varying stages of development—developed, developing, and less developed nations. By doing so, it highlights how diverse policies have shaped health outcomes and societal responses in the face of this global health challenge.

Historically, pandemics have revealed underlying vulnerabilities in health systems across different contexts, prompting critical reflections on resilience strategies. The COVID-19 crisis is no exception. Initial reports from countries with robust healthcare systems exposed gaps in preparedness and response capabilities, while others with less developed infrastructures faced catastrophic challenges that exacerbated health disparities and socioeconomic inequalities (Greer et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2021). Consequently, the COVID-19 experience advocates for a multidisciplinary approach to public health that merges social policy, economic planning, and health infrastructure to achieve a holistic system capable of handling pandemics and related disasters (Greer et al., 2021; Irfan et al., 2022).

As we delve into an exploration of resilience strategies, it becomes evident that strong leadership and informed decision-making are fundamental components of effective pandemic responses. Insights from the COVID-19 pandemic reveal that nations with a history of crisis management and public health preparedness were more adept at navigating the complexities of managing viral outbreaks (Harada et al., 2023; Joyce et al., 2023). Governments that fostered collaboration between public sectors and local communities showcased effectiveness in managing public perceptions and ensuring compliance with health directives, thereby fostering a trusted relationship in response to health emergencies (Earnshaw et al., 2020; Jee, 2020). This is significant, particularly in contexts where mistrust of authorities exists, impacting community responses to health advisories and interventions.

Underpinning the analysis of various resilience strategies is the pressing need to dissect public health policies implemented across differing socioeconomic environments. These policies reflect a broad spectrum of governmental responses influenced by political ideologies, economic capabilities, and cultural contexts (Earnshaw et al., 2020; Bacon et al., 2021). Notably, countries like South Korea, which learned valuable lessons from previous health crises such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), demonstrated proactive adaptations in public health strategies during the pandemic. Their efficient testing and contact tracing protocols emerged as critical mitigation measures that curbed the spread of the virus significantly (Jee, 2020; Novato et al., 2024).

Additionally, the pandemic unveiled how socio-economic disparities shape health outcomes. Vulnerable populations, particularly in low and middle-income countries, are confronted with heightened risks due to inadequate healthcare infrastructures, limited access to resources, and social determinants of health (Menap et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2023). The interplay between health policies and these underlying disparities necessitates urgent engagement with social policies aimed at strengthening public health infrastructures both during and following pandemic crises (Greer et al., 2021; Jalloh et al., 2022). Several studies demonstrate that equitable access to healthcare resources, along with community engagement, plays a pivotal role in enhancing public health adaptability and fostering resilience in the face of catastrophe (Hafiz et al., 2020; Nwakasi et al., 2022).

Moreover, the significance of mental health considerations cannot be overlooked in the context of pandemics. The COVID-19 crisis has dramatically influenced mental health outcomes,

evidencing the necessity of integrating mental health services into public health policies. Understanding the psychosocial impacts of the pandemic on different populations is essential for effective recovery strategies (Deguma et al., 2021; Mrigpuri et al., 2021). Accordingly, public health policies must not only aim for immediate health outcomes but should also consider long-term psychosocial ramifications to achieve holistic health and wellbeing (Semenza et al., 2021; Azevedo et al., 2022).

In preparation for future health crises, it is crucial to analyze learnings from the current pandemic and integrate those into sustainable public health policies that effectively respond to emerging challenges. This would mean fostering a global discourse in public health that prioritizes systemic and structural reforms, emphasizing collaborative, multi-level governance approaches designed to address health disparities and promote resilience (Aminullah et al., 2023; Mac-Seing & Ruggiero, 2024).

As we contemplate the future of public health post-COVID-19, we are reminded that the interconnectedness of global systems requires alliances that extend beyond health sectors into socioeconomic, political, and environmental realms. The strategy for resilience must therefore extend beyond mere containment of viruses and focus on building robust health systems that can withstand the pressures of future crises, thereby safeguarding public health and enhancing social sustainability (Greer et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023; Cherian & Natarajamurthy, 2024). In sum, this review article will provide a comparative analysis of resilience strategies as seen through the lens of COVID-19 responses in various nations. By synthesizing findings from recent research and integrating diverse perspectives on public health policy responses, the discussion aims to furnish essential recommendations for enhancing preparedness and resilience across different socio-political contexts in the aftermath of the pandemic.

Comparative Analysis of Pandemic Responses

The COVID-19 pandemic has necessitated a critical examination of public health responses across various countries, illuminating disparities in preparedness, governance, and response strategies. Through a comparative analysis of various nations' pandemic management, we can glean invaluable insights into effective practices while understanding systemic weaknesses that continue to persist. This section aims to systematically analyze the responses of countries categorized by development levels—developed, developing, and less developed nations—focusing on leadership, technological adaptation, healthcare resource allocation, public health policies, and community engagement.

Overview of COVID-19 Responses Globally

A crucial tenet of effective response during the COVID-19 pandemic has been the distinction and adaptation of strategies across different countries and regions, gauged by their existing healthcare infrastructures and governance frameworks. For instance, nations such as Germany and New Zealand, with pre-established strong public health systems, executed swift and effective responses through clear communication and decisive actions like early border closures and stringent testing protocols (Singh, 2021; O'Connor et al., 2023). The German approach relied heavily on extensive testing and contact tracing, bolstered by a well-coordinated health system that emphasized community involvement. The effectiveness of this approach is evidenced by its comparatively lower case fatality rates, which were among the lowest in Europe (Dewanti et al., 2024).

Conversely, instances such as those seen in the United States and the United Kingdom showcase how political factors and fragmented health systems can contribute to poor pandemic management. In these cases, inconsistent messaging from government officials often led to public confusion and lower compliance with public health directives (Bártlová et al., 2024). The U.S. response was substantially hindered by pre-existing disparities in healthcare access, compounded by a lack of federal guidance that hampered state-level responses, resulting in

significant health inequities that reflected broader societal issues (Houghtaling et al., 2023; Sabbaghi et al., 2023).

In developing nations, the pandemic has exposed the fragility of health systems that were already overburdened prior to COVID-19. Countries in Sub-Saharan Africa, while facing severe limitations, such as shortages of healthcare workers and inadequate testing facilities, often demonstrated remarkable community resilience and adaptability. For instance, Rwanda effectively streamlined contact tracing through community health workers, allowing for localized responses even in the face of fragmented health infrastructure (Singh, 2021).

Public Health Leadership and Workforce Challenges

Effective public health leadership has emerged as a critical factor in the success or failure of pandemic responses. Leaders who communicated transparently and empaneled scientific advice, such as New Zealand's Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern and South Korea's President Moon Jae-in, were instrumental in garnering public trust, leading to higher compliance with health measures (Baboukardos et al., 2021; Gietaneh et al., 2023). Conversely, leadership characterized by disorganization and indecision can exacerbate public health crises, as seen in multiple jurisdictions where political controversies hampered the implementation of necessary public health measures (Savanevičienė et al., 2021; Bártlová et al., 2024).

Moreover, the pandemic exposed significant challenges faced by the public health workforce. Reports highlighted stress, burnout, and workforce shortages as significant issues (Ke & Chen, 2022). In developed nations, health professionals were overwhelmed by the volumes of patients and the need to pivot quickly toward pandemic response measures, leading to an erosion of routine care for other diseases (Achangwa et al., 2023). Simultaneously, the recruitment and retention of skilled personnel proved to be a daunting task, as many frontline workers faced uncertainties regarding their health and safety (Jabeen et al., 2022).

In contrast, workforce challenges in less developed countries were exacerbated by pre-existing structural weaknesses, such as inadequate training and insufficient remuneration. Studies have revealed that these nations often relied on volunteers and community health workers who faced significant risks without adequate protective measures (Kamga et al., 2024). Addressing these workforce challenges is paramount for bolstering capacities in future health emergencies, underscoring the need for investment in training and retention strategies that enhance workforce resilience against crises (Raoufi & Fayek, 2022).

The Role of Systems and Technological Innovations

Technological innovations played a pivotal role in adapting healthcare systems during the COVID-19 pandemic. Countries like Israel and Singapore utilized advanced technology for contact tracing and data analytics to track infection patterns (Singh, 2021; O'Connor et al., 2023). The integration of mobile applications where citizens voluntarily reported health information exemplifies how digital tools can enhance public health responses in real-time (Basu et al., 2021).

However, in many developing nations, the digital divide posed substantial barriers to effective technological adoption in pandemic responses. Limited access to internet connectivity and digital literacy hampered the implementation of systems designed to foster communication and rapid response capabilities (Mensah et al., 2023). Moreover, countries that relied heavily on technology without accompanying infrastructure investments frequently faced challenges, as observed in urban areas with high population density and lower health engagement (Sridhar & Rabbani, 2021).

Communities in less developed countries often resorted to traditional methods of communication and mobilization, demonstrating adaptability despite technological limitations. For instance, grassroots organizations in Bangladesh coordinated educational outreach about COVID-19 through local gatherings, disseminating information through more accessible

means (Tùng, 2022). Such adaptability signifies the necessity of integrating local knowledge with technological advances to enhance public health responses further.

Equity and Community Engagement

Equity emerged as a crucial consideration throughout the pandemic. The disparate impacts on vulnerable populations were starkly evident globally; marginalized communities often suffered disproportionately from both the health effects of COVID-19 and the socio-economic consequences of lockdowns and public health policies (Khadijah et al., 2023). In the United States, Black and Latino communities experienced higher infection and death rates partly due to structural inequities, including access to healthcare (McCormack et al., 2020).

Community engagement has proven critical in combating misinformation while fostering trust in public health messages. Effective engagement strategies were observed in countries like Taiwan, where proactive communication and clear public health messaging improved public compliance with health guidelines (Vainauskienė & Vaitkienė, 2022). The involvement of community leaders and local organizations helped bridge gaps in trust and communication, illustrating the importance of tailoring public health initiatives to the cultural contexts of different populations.

Moreover, experiences from countries like India, where public health campaigns integrated community leaders in disseminating prevention information, exemplified how leveraging local strengths can positively influence health behaviors. Studies demonstrate that culturally relevant messaging can enhance compliance with health directives, fostering more effective community responses (Ismael et al., 2024).

The Economic Implications of Pandemic Strategies

The economic implications of pandemic management strategies cannot be understated. Countries opted for varying approaches, balancing economic shutdowns with public health needs. In high-income nations, fiscal measures taken to control the pandemic often resulted in significant economic downturns, exacerbating existing financial disparities (Pahomii, 2023). Conversely, some developing nations faced dilemmas regarding shutdowns that would hamper their already fragile economies, pushing many inhabitants into further poverty (Hashim et al., 2022).

Ultimately, the interplay between health and economic outcomes from the COVID-19 pandemic sheds light on how public health policies must consider long-term societal impacts beyond immediate health concerns. Investment in health systems as a foundational element for economic resilience must be emphasized in future policies, facilitating better preparedness for similar crises in the future (Lee et al., 2021).

Resilience and Recovery Strategies

The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the necessity for resilience and recovery strategies within public health systems, given the diverse range of impacts experienced across countries. This section elaborates on several key themes related to resilience, including best practices in public health policy, community engagement, the role of psychological resilience, and the integration of innovative strategies to bolster recovery efforts. Each of these dimensions incorporates lessons from the pandemic and considers how nations, especially those at different development stages, can better prepare for future health crises.

Best Practices in Public Health Policy

In examining resilience strategies, one of the standout responses observed during the COVID-19 pandemic was the implementation of flexible public health policies that prioritized community needs. Countries that experienced success often adapted their policies to be more responsive to emerging evidence and changing circumstances. For instance, nations like Taiwan and New Zealand employed dynamic testing strategies and contact tracing measures,

enabling them to contain outbreaks effectively while maintaining public trust (Adini & Kimhi, 2023; Shah et al., 2023).

Crucially, public health policies must emphasize equity and access. In many developed countries, the pandemic revealed systemic inequalities in healthcare access, particularly among marginalized populations (Bwerinofa et al., 2022; Backhaus et al., 2023). Best practices must therefore be predicated on inclusivity, adopting innovative outreach methods to ensure vulnerable groups receive adequate health information and services. This was demonstrated effectively in countries such as South Africa and Zimbabwe, where local health authorities engaged communities by integrating culturally appropriate messaging into their public health initiatives, leading to improved health outcomes (Morgan et al., 2021; Bwerinofa et al., 2022). Moreover, integrating mental health services into public health responses emerged as a best practice during the pandemic. Recognizing the psychological toll the crisis imposed on individuals, especially frontline workers, countries like Germany implemented strategies to support mental health within their healthcare systems (Zanjani et al., 2021; Mitchell et al., 2022). This holistic approach to public health demonstrated that resilience is not merely about managing physical health crises but also encompasses safeguarding mental well-being during tumultuous times.

Equity and Community Engagement

Community engagement stands out as a fundamental pillar of building resilience in public health systems. The participatory approach taken by health workers who collaborated with local leaders during the pandemic illustrated the value of community trust and understanding (Morgan et al., 2021; Sripad et al., 2022). In rural Zimbabwe, for example, community resilience was driven by local knowledge and networks, allowing for responses tailored to the specific needs and cultural contexts of affected populations (Morgan et al., 2021; Bwerinofa et al., 2022).

Furthermore, effective communication strategies were pivotal in mobilizing community action. Studies have illustrated that clear messaging from health authorities, coupled with active listening from communities, fostered trust and led to greater compliance with public health directives (Buscetta et al., 2022; Nawyn et al., 2022). For instance, social media emerged as a powerful tool for disseminating accurate health information, combating misinformation that often spreads faster than the virus itself (Pang et al., 2022; Alzahrani et al., 2024). Governments that leveraged social media effectively to engage with citizens found their public health campaigns to be significantly more impactful.

Integration of local stakeholders into decision-making processes is also vital for resilient community responses. Involving community leaders in the development and dissemination of health policies ensures that messaging resonates culturally and contextually, which has significant implications for the sustainability of health initiatives (Sripad et al., 2022; Kodali, 2023). The successes achieved when local communities took charge during the pandemic highlight the importance of fostering bottom-up approaches that may better shield society from future health disruptions.

The Role of Psychological Resilience

Psychological resilience has been a critical factor that influences individual and community responses to stressors such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Studies indicated that individuals with higher levels of psychological resilience were better equipped to manage the anxiety and uncertainties associated with the crisis (Arslan & Yildirim, 2021; Parlak et al., 2022). Engaging psychological resilience as a protective factor contributes not only to individual mental health but also enhances collective community resilience, enabling populations to maintain or even improve their health practices during adverse conditions (Klimczuk et al., 2022; Nyasulu & Nyondo-Mipando, 2023).

Increasingly, mental health initiatives, including psychological support training for healthcare professionals, have become essential as part of resilience strategies. Reports from various countries indicate that frontline health workers, who experienced high levels of stress, often demonstrated enhanced resilience through access to mental health resources and peer support networks (Zanjani et al., 2021; Welden et al., 2023). This highlights the duality of health—while focusing on physical health through interventions like vaccinations, comparable attention must be directed toward fostering mental health support systems as an indispensable component of resilient healthcare frameworks.

Finally, educational institutions played a significant role in nurturing resilience among youth. Initiatives aimed at improving mental health literacy and promoting coping strategies within school environments have been critical in helping students and staff alike navigate the uncertainties presented by the pandemic (Nawyn et al., 2022; Cherian & Natarajamurthy, 2024). Such measures not only prepare students for current challenges but also fortify their resilience against future adversities.

Innovative Strategies for Recovery

The challenges presented by COVID-19 led to the emergence of innovative strategies that enhanced resilience in healthcare systems. Various countries adopted digital health technologies, such as telemedicine and electronic health records, to facilitate access to healthcare while maintaining social distancing protocols (Adini & Kimhi, 2023; Owolabi et al., 2024). These innovations not only ensured the continuity of care during the pandemic but also exposed the potential for more comprehensive health systems that can accommodate various public health needs.

For example, health services in Lima, Peru, demonstrated adaptability by reconfiguring facilities to continue offering sexual and reproductive health services even amid severe pandemic-related limitations (Choi et al., 2022; Gianella et al., 2024). This adaptability signifies that healthcare systems must evolve by incorporating flexible service delivery models that are responsive to ongoing and future challenges.

Similarly, governmental and non-governmental organizations engaged in efforts to rethink public space utilization, reclaiming environments that foster community engagement while adhering to health guidelines. Tactical urbanism initiatives, aimed at designing open and accessible public areas, emerged in response to the immediate need for safe social interactions (Shah et al., 2023; Alawi et al., 2024). These efforts highlight the significance of environmental design in promoting public health, reinforcing the essential link between physical infrastructure and community resilience.

Combating misinformation regarding vaccines and health practices has also become an innovative strategy embraced by many health authorities. Education campaigns prioritizing accurate information dissemination and community trust-building measures have aided public health efforts to combat vaccine hesitancy and maintain compliance with health directives (Alzahrani et al., 2024; Pennisi et al., 2024). Such initiatives underscore the requirement for ongoing and adaptive communication strategies that strengthen community resilience in facing public health challenges.

In summary, resilience and recovery strategies adopted during the COVID-19 pandemic have illuminated various dimensions critical to public health policy and practice. Emphasizing the integration of equity-focused community engagement, mental health resilience, innovative strategies, and adaptive public health policies establishes a comprehensive framework for enhancing preparedness and response capabilities. The lessons learned from the pandemic warrant a systemic shift towards prioritizing resilience in health systems globally, ensuring that nations are uniformly equipped to face both current and future health crises effectively.

Lessons Learned and Future Directions

The COVID-19 pandemic has undeniably transformed the landscape of public health, emphasizing the critical need for adaptive and resilient health systems. The multifaceted challenges brought about by this global health crisis have generated a wealth of lessons that can inform future public health preparedness and responses. This section expounds on key lessons learned, addressing aspects such as leadership and governance, the importance of community engagement, the role of technology and data utilization, and preparing for future pandemics through international collaboration and equitable health strategies.

Key Lessons from COVID-19 Responses

One of the most palpable lessons from the COVID-19 experience is the unambiguous need for strong and decisive leadership in public health (Buzelli & Boyce, 2021; McGinley et al., 2023). Countries exhibiting robust leadership, characterized by transparency, clear communication, and a firm commitment to scientific principles, prevailed in managing the crisis effectively. For instance, the New Zealand approach, led by Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern, emphasized quick decision-making supported by scientific evidence, which yielded comparatively low infection rates (Ravenhall et al., 2021). In contrast, nations that struggled with inconsistent messaging and political infighting, such as the United States, suffered significant health consequences, resulting in higher mortality rates (Shelton et al., 2024). The pandemic has illustrated that investing in skilled leadership and adopting inclusive governance practices can significantly enhance public health resilience.

Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of equity in health policy. Unequal access to medical care and information exacerbated the pandemic's impact on marginalized communities (Alfieri et al., 2021). Studies demonstrated that health disparities experienced by racial minorities were linked to inadequate healthcare access and socio-economic challenges (Alhindi et al., 2023). Therefore, future public health initiatives must address these disparities with proactive measures aimed at equitable access to services and resources. The experiences of some communities and countries, which successfully employed targeted outreach and culturally sensitive campaigns, should serve as benchmarks for building inclusive health systems (Manganello et al., 2021; Kiely et al., 2022).

Preparing for Future Pandemics

As the world grapples with the aftermath of COVID-19, preparedness for future health crises must take center stage. Insights from nations like Rwanda demonstrate that pre-existing frameworks for public health, informed by past crises, contribute to swifter and more effective responses (Louis et al., 2022). Effective pandemic preparedness should emphasize the integration of health systems reflecting previous experiences—whether from Ebola, H1N1, or other infectious disease outbreaks (Böhret, 2020). Such an approach necessitates the formulation of comprehensive pandemic response plans that are regularly updated to incorporate new data and insights.

Moreover, enhanced surveillance systems, integrating both local and global data, are essential for early detection and rapid response to future outbreaks. The COVID-19 pandemic showcased the critical role of efficient data-sharing platforms that enabled timely responses to outbreaks (Shelton et al., 2024; Southworth et al., 2024). For instance, the use of digital health technologies has been pivotal in tracking and managing case loads more effectively, providing a template for future strategies (Lawal, 2022; Mason et al., 2022). By prioritizing data-driven decision-making processes and incorporating real-time technological solutions, public health organizations can bolster their adaptive capacities during crises.

Community Engagement and Health Literacy

Community engagement emerged as a cornerstone of effective public health management during the COVID-19 crisis. The experiences of various countries demonstrated that public adherence to health measures is closely linked to the strength of community connections and

trust in health authorities (Manganello et al., 2021; Kirkland et al., 2022). Engaging local leaders in public health decisions and giving communities a sense of ownership over their health outcomes significantly improved compliance with health directives during the pandemic.

Strengthening public health communication through culturally competent and context-specific messaging is critical (Fawcett et al., 2023). Future public health initiatives should invest in building health literacy, ensuring that communities are well-informed and capable of making decisions about their health. To that end, health authorities should leverage community networks and partnerships to disseminate information and foster inclusive dialogue around public health challenges. Successful interventions in combating vaccine hesitancy, for example, can illustrate the efficacy of community-led approaches in enhancing public health outcomes during crises (Alfieri et al., 2021; Manganello et al., 2021).

The Role of Technology and Digital Health

The COVID-19 pandemic expedited the adoption of digital health technologies, marking a significant turning point in health service delivery models (Mason et al., 2022; Beck et al., 2024). Innovations such as telemedicine and mobile health applications not only facilitated continuity of care during the pandemic but also enhanced access to healthcare services in remote and underserved areas. As countries develop their health infrastructures post-COVID, the integration of technology in health promotion strategies must be prioritized (Alfieri et al., 2021; Manganello et al., 2021).

Although many nations successfully incorporated digital solutions during the pandemic, significant challenges remain concerning the scalability and sustainability of these innovations (Mason et al., 2022; Marcassoli et al., 2023). Collaboration between public health entities, technology partners, and local communities can foster an adaptive digital health landscape that is both effective and accessible. Countries that invest in technological resilience and prioritize interoperability within their health systems will be better positioned to address future health crises effectively.

Building Global Partnerships and Solidarity

The pandemic emphasized the significance of international cooperation and solidarity in public health responses. As COVID-19 transcended national borders, the role of global health organizations, like the World Health Organization (WHO), became paramount (Böhret, 2020; Kirkland et al., 2022). Countries that fostered collaborative relationships within their regions, sharing critical information and resources, were more successful in mitigating the impacts of the pandemic. The experiences learned from COVID-19 must drive the commitment to strengthen global partnerships that enhance response capacities to future pandemics.

Furthermore, addressing global health inequities requires a commitment to ensuring equitable access to health resources worldwide. The need for the global community to coalesce around strategies that prioritize vulnerable populations is crucial, as the pandemic has illustrated that health security in one region can significantly impact the rest of the world (Alhindi et al., 2023; Djukic et al., 2025). This highlights the importance of investing in global health infrastructure and systems that can withstand potential future health threats, especially in low- and middle-income countries (Manganello et al., 2021; Kirkland et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic elucidate the vital components necessary for building resilient health systems capable of addressing current and future health crises. Strong leadership, equitable public health strategies, community engagement, technological integration, and robust international cooperation emerge as key themes in fortifying public health preparedness. By embedding these lessons into the fabric of public health policy and practice, countries can not only enhance their resilience to future pandemics but also work towards creating a more equitable global health landscape.

Conclusion and Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has served not only as a devastating health crisis but also as a critical learning opportunity for public health systems worldwide (Buzelli & Boyce, 2021; McGinley et al., 2023). As nations grapple with the ongoing consequences of the pandemic, it is imperative to distill key lessons from the experiences of the past several years and apply those to build more resilient and future-ready health systems. This article has synthesized core findings and reflections on the pandemic's impact, underscoring the necessity of proactive policies that encompass not only the lessons learned but also the actionable strategies needed to mitigate similar crises in the future.

One of the clearest takeaways from the COVID-19 experience is the undeniable importance of a robust healthcare infrastructure. Countries that had previously invested in strong health systems, such as South Korea and Germany, were able to respond more decisively to the pandemic's challenges by implementing effective testing, contact tracing, and vaccination campaigns (Boby et al., 2022). Their experiences underscore the necessity of a well-organized public health system capable of rapid mobilization in the face of a crisis. Conversely, those nations that entered the pandemic with fragile or under-resourced systems faced significant challenges, leading to unnecessary morbidity and mortality. Consequently, a primary focus for global health policy must be to ensure that all countries strengthen their healthcare capacities, prioritize health infrastructure, and invest in responsive health systems that can handle future outbreaks (Yi et al., 2022).

The role of leadership and governance during a health crisis is another pivotal aspect that warrants careful consideration. Effective leadership, characterized by transparency, decisiveness, and community engagement, can foster public trust and adherence to health directives (Joseph & Juncos, 2023). The pandemic highlighted the necessity for governments to cultivate trust through open communication and inclusive decision-making. As studies have shown, public compliance and the ability to manage fear and misinformation are heavily influenced by the perceived competence of health authorities (Manganello et al., 2021). Thus, future pandemic preparedness policies should emphasize the training and development of health leaders to ensure readiness and adaptability in facing future crises.

Furthermore, the significance of equity in healthcare access emerged as a persistent theme throughout the pandemic. The disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on marginalized communities highlights the interconnectedness of social determinants of health and the urgent need to embed equity into public health strategies (Alfieri et al., 2021). Policymakers must prioritize equitable access to healthcare resources, particularly in underserved populations, to ensure that everyone has the opportunity to participate in health initiatives, including vaccination campaigns and treatment access. As the pandemic illustrated, health disparities can exacerbate morbidity and mortality, revealing the necessity of prioritizing health equity in all future public health policies (Nieuwborg et al., 2023).

Community engagement proved vital in combating the pandemic effectively. Public health strategies that activated local networks and involved community members in decision-making processes fostered a sense of ownership and compliance (Fawcett et al., 2023). Successful initiatives, such as those seen in Taiwan and various African nations, demonstrate the potential of mobilizing community resources and knowledge to tackle health crises collaboratively. Future strategies should, therefore, incorporate community engagement as a foundational element of public health emergency response plans, reinforcing the connection between health authorities and the communities they serve (Manganello et al., 2021).

The pandemic acted as a catalyst for technology adoption in healthcare, which must be further harnessed to strengthen resilience in future health crises. Digital technologies played an essential role in facilitating access to care, enabling telemedicine services, and streamlining data collection and analysis (Shelton et al., 2024; Southworth et al., 2024). The adaptability

observed in various health systems to implement these technologies must create momentum toward more permanent structures centered on digital health solutions. This digital transformation can enhance monitoring, data analysis, and health service delivery, ultimately leading to more prepared health systems capable of responding to and managing the challenges of future pandemics.

As we consider the future, the importance of global collaboration in enhancing pandemic preparedness cannot be overstated. The interconnected nature of global health means that no nation can act in isolation (Böhret, 2020; Kirkland et al., 2022). Experiences from the COVID-19 pandemic illustrate the necessity for countries to engage in shared knowledge, resource mobilization, and coordinated responses to health emergencies. Strengthening international health relationships and partnerships through organizations like the World Health Organization is essential to building a globally cohesive response framework that can address disparities in resources and capabilities during future crises (Busic-Sontic & Schubert, 2023).

Furthermore, the pandemic highlighted the intertwining of health crises with broader socio-economic and environmental issues. Future public health policies must consider the intricate relationships between health, economic stability, and ecological sustainability. By employing a holistic approach that acknowledges these interdependencies, health authorities can better equip themselves to combat the multifaceted challenges posed by global health threats, ensuring not only public health safety but also overall societal resilience (Greer et al., 2021).

In conclusion, the lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic pave the way for a transformative approach to public health policy. By capitalizing on the importance of robust healthcare infrastructure, effective leadership, equitable access, community engagement, technological innovation, and global collaboration, nations can develop a more resilient public health system capable of weathering future challenges. The ongoing impacts of the pandemic underscore the need for proactive strategies that prioritize health security, equity, and community well-being. Moving forward, we must ensure that the insights gained are implemented, adapting policies and frameworks to better safeguard public health now and into the future.

References

- Achangwa, C., Ryu, S., Jung, J., & Hwang, S. (2023). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on chlamydia infection in South Korea: A comparison between the pre-pandemic and during-pandemic periods. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 116732.
- Adini, B., & Kimhi, S. (2023). Perspective: Lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic concerning the resilience of the population. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*, 12(1), 19.
- Alawi, M., Chu, D., & Rui, Y. (2024). Measuring and improving public space resilience to the COVID-19 pandemic: Chongqing, China as a case study. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 6, 1383933.
- Alfieri, N., Kusma, J., Heard-Garris, N., Davis, M., Golbeck, E., Barrera, L., & Macy, M. (2021). Parental COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy for children: Vulnerability in an urban hotspot. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1662.
- Alhindi, Y., Habib, A., Alhusini, R., Bahha, A., Alqurashi, A., Almatani, R., & Alkhayat, T. (2023). Beliefs about the use of herbs before and after COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, 15(11), e49624.
- Alzahrani, A., Alshehri, W., Alghamdi, F., Almalki, A., Alzaidi, K., Alsulaimani, H., & Almalki, S. (2024). The impact of COVID-19 vaccine controversy on parents' perceptions of routine vaccinations. *Cureus*, 16(7), e63606.
- Aminullah, E., Erman, E., & Soesilo, T. (2023). Simulation of the COVID-19 handling policy in Indonesia. *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, 14(5), 5.

- Arslan, G., & Yıldırım, M. (2021). A longitudinal examination of the association between meaning in life, resilience, and mental well-being in times of coronavirus pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 645597.
- Azevedo, D., Plácido, A., Herdeiro, M., Roque, F., & Roque, V. (2022). How Portuguese health entities used social media to face the public health emergency during COVID-19 disease. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(19), 11942.
- Baboukardos, D., Gaia, S., & She, C. (2021). Social performance and social media activity in times of pandemic: Evidence from COVID-19-related Twitter activity. *Corporate Governance*, 21(6), 1271-1289.
- Backhaus, I., Sisenop, F., Begotaraj, E., Jevtić, M., Marchini, S., Morganti, A., & Lindert, J. (2023). Coping with the mental health impact of COVID-19: A study protocol for a multinational longitudinal study on coping and resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLOS One*, 18(5), e0285803.
- Bacon, S., Lavoie, K., Boyle, J., Stojanovic, J., & Joyal-Desmarais, K. (2021). International assessment of the link between COVID-19 related attitudes, concerns and behaviours in relation to public health policies: Optimising policy strategies to improve health, economic and quality of life outcomes (the iCare study). *BMJ Open*, 11(3), e046127.
- Bártlová, S., Hellerová, V., Dolák, F., & Chloubová, I. (2024). Nurses' views and experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nursing in the 21st Century*, 23(2), 106-110.
- Basu, A., Kuziemy, C., Novaes, M., Kleber, A., Sales, F., Al-Shorbaji, N., & Udayasankaran, J. (2021). Telehealth and the COVID-19 pandemic: International perspectives and a health systems framework for telehealth implementation to support critical response. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics*, 30(1), 126-133.
- Beck, A., Kampman, M., Huynh, C., Simon, C., Plueschke, K., Cohet, C., & Arlett, P. (2024). Collaborative real-world evidence among regulators: Lessons and perspectives. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 117(2), 368-373.
- Boby, P., Dewan, P., & Sugga, P. (2022). *A review of post pandemic healthcare design*. The Evolving Scholar. <https://doi.org/10.24404/620f68305d583d481a24ddbf>
- Böhret, I. (2020). Why responses to public health emergencies need to incorporate a broader understanding of culture. *Cross-Cultural Human Rights Review*, 2(2-3), 5.
- Buscetta, A., Abdallah, K., Floyd, K., Wossenseged, F., Conn, C., Ramirez, H., & Bonham, V. (2022). Examining resilience of individuals living with sickle cell disease in the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychology*, 10(1), 156.
- Basic-Sontic, A., & Schubert, R. (2023). Social resilience indicators for pandemic crises. *Disasters*, 48(2), e12610.
- Buzelli, M., & Boyce, T. (2021). The privatization of the Italian national health system and its impact on health emergency preparedness and response: The COVID-19 case. *International Journal of Health Services*, 51(4), 501-508.
- Bwerinofa, I., Mahenehene, J., Manaka, M., Mulotshwa, B., Murimbarimba, F., Mutoko, M., & Scoones, I. (2022). What is 'community resilience'? Responding to COVID-19 in rural Zimbabwe. *BMJ Global Health*, 7(9), e009528.
- Cherian, A., & Natarajamurthy, P. (2024). Rethinking tourism post-COVID: A public health perspective. *South Eastern European Journal of Public Health*, 24, 66-69.
- Choi, K., Nishimi, K., Jha, S., Sampson, L., Hahn, J., Kang, J., & Kubzansky, L. (2022). Pre-pandemic resilience to trauma and mental health outcomes during COVID-19. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 58(3), 453-465.
- Deguma, M., Lumayag, C., Villaganas, M., Reyes, N., & Deguma, J. (2021). From anxious loneliness to meditation: a mental health self-care strategy to cope with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Public Health*, 44(3), e402-e403.

- Dewanti, R., Paryanto, E., Rahayu, W., & Rachmadhan, A. (2024). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on staple food prices in Semarang. *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 1364(1), 012044.
- Djukic, M., Pine, R., Short, Y., Jackson, J., McVey, C., & Cline, D. (2025). New graduate nurses' transition to practice during the COVID-19 pandemic: Voices of clinical nurse educators from the front lines. *Sage Open Nursing*, 11, 1-5.
- Earnshaw, V., Eaton, L., Kalichman, S., Brousseau, N., Hill, E., & Fox, A. (2020). COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, health behaviors, and policy support. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 10(4), 850-856.
- Fawcett, S., Holt, C., Solar-Hormazabal, O., Eijkemans, G., Partridge, D., Jordan, S., & Hill, E. (2023). Equity lens protocol: Reflecting on harms from the COVID-19 response and mitigation strategies implemented in a local public health system. *Health Promotion Practice*, 25(4), 526-530.
- Gao, J., Gallegos, G., & West, J. (2023). Public health policy, political ideology, and public emotion related to COVID-19 in the U.S. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(21), 6993.
- Gianella, C., Cortez, L., Beran, D., & Pesantes, M. (2024). Ensuring sexual and reproductive healthcare services amidst a pandemic: experiences from health workers in Lima, Peru. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 4(6), e0003187.
- Gietaneh, W., Simieneh, M., Endalew, B., Tarekegn, S., Petrucka, P., & Eyayu, D. (2023). Traditional healers' roles, and the challenges they face in the prevention and control of local disease outbreaks and pandemics: The case of the East Gojjam Zone in northwestern Ethiopia. *Frontiers in Tropical Diseases*, 4, 978528.
- Greer, S., Jarman, H., Falkenbach, M., Fonseca, E., Raj, M., & King, E. (2021). Social policy as an integral component of pandemic response: Learning from COVID-19 in Brazil, Germany, India, and the United States. *Global Public Health*, 16(8-9), 1209-1222.
- Greer, S., King, E., Fonseca, E., & Peralta-Santos, A. (2020). The comparative politics of COVID-19: The need to understand government responses. *Global Public Health*, 15(9), 1413-1416.
- Hafiz, H., Oei, S., Ring, D., & Shnitser, N. (2020). *Regulating in pandemic: evaluating economic and financial policy responses to the coronavirus crisis*. (Boston College Law School Legal Studies Research Paper No. 527). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3555980>
- Harada, N., Kuzmichev, A., & Dean, H. (2023). COVID-19 response of the Journal Public Health Reports (PHR), March 2020–March 2023. *Public Health Reports*, 139(2), 154-162.
- Hashim, H., Rassoul, A., Bchara, J., Ahmadi, A., & Lucero-Prisno, D. (2022). COVID-19 denial in Turkmenistan veiling the real situation. *Archives of Public Health*, 80(1), 8.
- Houghtaling, B., Haynes-Maslow, L., Andress, L., Hardison-Moody, A., Grocke-Dewey, M., Holston, D., & Shanks, C. (2023). Food insecurity among households with children during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Agriculture Food Systems and Community Development*, 12(3), 225-237.
- Irfan, F., Minetti, R., Telford, B., Ahmed, F., Syed, A., Hollon, N., & Brusselaers, N. (2022). Coronavirus pandemic in the Nordic countries: Health policy and economic trade-off. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, 05017.
- Ismael, S., Mustafa, S., & Abdullah, B. (2024). Relation between the pandemic COVID-19 and the outbreak of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Iraq, 2023. *Journal of Contemporary Medical Sciences*, 10(2), 98-101.
- Jabeen, M., Shahjahan, M., & Farid, G. (2022). Information dissemination during COVID-19 pandemic among postgraduate allied health sciences students in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*, 16(11), 366-370.

- Jalil, M., Ali, A., Ahmed, Z., & Kamarulzaman, R. (2021). The mediating effect of coping strategies between psychological capital and small tourism organization resilience: insights from the COVID-19 pandemic, Malaysia. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 766528.
- Jalloh, M., Zeebari, Z., Nur, S., Prybylski, D., Nur, A., Hakim, A., & Nordenstedt, H. (2022). Drivers of COVID-19 policy stringency in 175 countries and territories: COVID-19 cases and deaths, gross domestic products per capita, and health expenditures. *Journal of Global Health, 12*, 05049.
- Jee, Y. (2020). Interim evaluation of South Korea's response to COVID-19 and preparation for a post- COVID-19 world in public health. *The Korean Journal of Policy Studies, 35*(3), 169-190.
- Joseph, J., & Juncos, A. (2023). Conceptual politics and resilience-at-work in the European Union. *Review of International Studies, 50*(2), 373-392.
- Joyce, A., Risely, E., Green, C., Carey, G., & Buick, F. (2023). What can public health administration learn from the decision-making processes during COVID-19?. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 21*(1), 4.
- Kamga, L., Voordouw, A., Vries, M., Belfroid, E., Brabers, A., Jong, J., & Timen, A. (2024). The Dutch citizens' understanding and perception of the actors involved in the Netherlands' COVID-19 pandemic response: A focus group study during the first pandemic wave. *Health Expectations, 27*(5), e14170.
- Ke, D. and Chen, W. (2022). Comparative study on residents' health-promoting lifestyle and life satisfaction in Wuhan before and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Public Health, 10*, 820499.
- Khadijah, S., Wiyono, B., Rasyad, A., & Sobri, A. (2023). Replacing face-to-face with online meetings: public and private university students' satisfaction on academic advising during COVID-19 pandemic. *Pegegog, 14*(1), 270-283.
- Kiely, S., Parisi, S., Farley, H., & Ripp, J. (2022). Lessons from the northeast COVID-19 surge: well-being of the health care workforce. *American Journal of Medical Quality, 38*(1), 57-62.
- Kirkland, C., Oldfield-Tabbert, K., Karnik, H., Orr, J., Martin, S., & Leider, J. (2022). Public health workforce gaps, impacts, and improvement strategies from COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(20), 13084.
- Klimczuk, A., Berde, É., Dovie, D., Klimczuk-Kochanska, M., & Spinelli, G. (2022). Editorial: coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Socio-economic systems in the post-pandemic world: Design thinking, strategic planning, management, and public policy. *Frontiers in Communication, 7*, 1034562.
- Kodali, P. (2023). Achieving universal health coverage in low- and middle-income countries: challenges for policy post-pandemic and beyond. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy, 16*, 607-621.
- Lawal, O. (2022). COVID-19 risks and systemic gaps in Nigeria: Resilience building lessons for pandemic and climate change management. *SN Social Sciences, 2*(11), 247.
- Lee, G., Clough, O., Hayter, E., Morris, J., Ashdown, T., Hardman, J., & Anakwe, R. (2021). Embracing virtual outpatient clinics in the era of COVID-19. *Bone & Joint Open, 2*(5), 301-304.
- Louis, E., Eugene, D., Ingabire, W., Isano, S., & Blanc, J. (2022). Rwanda's resiliency during the coronavirus disease pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 12*, 589526.
- Mac-Seing, M., & Ruggiero, E. (2024). The intersections of COVID-19 global health governance and population health priorities: Equity-related lessons learned from Canada and selected G20 countries. *Public Health Reviews, 45*, 1606052.

- Manganello, J., Penta, S., & Whalen, E. (2021). Pandemic communication: Challenges and opportunities for local health departments. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 28(1), 6-9.
- Marcassoli, A., Leonardi, M., Passavanti, M., Angelis, V., Bentivegna, E., Martelletti, P., & Raggi, A. (2023). Lessons learned from the lessons learned in public health during the first years of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(3), 1785.
- Mason, C., Lazenby, S., Stuhldreher, R., Kimball, M., & Bartlein, R. (2022). Lessons learned from implementing digital health tools to address COVID-19 in LMICS. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 859941
- McCarty, S., Pacqué, K., Gatto, A., Hill, K., & Charak, R. (2022). Youth-led resilience promotion during disaster recovery: A proposed framework, innovative program, and lessons learned. *Psychological Trauma Theory Research Practice and Policy*, 14(S1), S32-S40.
- McCormack, W., Bredella, M., Ingbar, D., Jackson, R., Meagher, E., Morris, C., & McIntosh, S. (2020). Immediate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on CTSA TL1 and KL2 training and career development. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 4(6), 556-561.
- McGinley, H., Hancock, W., Kern-Allely, S., Jenssen, M., Chutaro, E., Camacho, J., & Brostrom, R. (2023). COVID-19 in the us-affiliated pacific islands: a timeline of events and lessons learned from March 2020-November 2022. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 3(8), e0002052.
- Menap, M., Harmaen, H., & Sastrawan, S. (2021). Quality of health services before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in public health center. *Prisma Sains Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu Dan Pembelajaran Matematika Dan Ipa Ikip Mataram*, 9(2), 225.
- Mensah, I., Khan, M., Liang, J., Zhu, N., Lin, L., & Mwakapesa, D. (2023). The moderating influence of perceived government information transparency on COVID-19 pandemic information adoption on social media systems. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1172094.
- Mitchell, N., McCauley, M., O'Brien, D., & Wilson, C. (2022). Mental health and resilience in the Irish Defense Forces during the COVID-19 global pandemic. *Military Psychology*, 35(5), 383-393.
- Morgan, G., Poland, B., Jackson, S., Gloger, A., Luca, S., Lach, N., & Rolston, I. (2021). A connected community response to COVID-19 in Toronto. *Global Health Promotion*, 29(1), 101-104.
- Mrigpuri, P., Gupta, A., Jha, R., Singla, P., & Singla, R. (2021). Tobacco use, tuberculosis and COVID-19: A lethal triad. *Indian Journal of Tuberculosis*, 68, S86-S88.
- Nawyn, S., Karaoğlu, E., Gasteyer, S., Mansour, R., Ghassani, A., & Marquart-Pyatt, S. (2022). Resilience to nested crises: The effects of the Beirut explosion on COVID-19 safety protocol adherence during humanitarian assistance to refugees. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 870158.
- Nieuwborg, A., Mastrikt, S., Melles, M., Zekveld, J., & Santema, S. (2023). A categorization of resilience: A scoping review. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(4), 95.
- Novato, V., Toscano, C., Ferreira, V., & Patel, S. (2024). A systematic review of public policy implementation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Current topics and future directions. *Review of Policy Research*, 42(2), 346-370.
- Nwakasi, C., Esiaka, D., Uchendu, I., & Bosun-Arije, F. (2022). Factors influencing compliance with public health directives and support for the government's actions against COVID-19: A Nigerian case study. *Scientific African*, 15, e01089.

- Nyasulu, J., & Nyondo-Mipando, A. (2023). Politics and implications on the COVID-19 health systems preparedness: The Malawian experience. *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, 14(12), 4.
- O'Connor, E., O'Riordan, M., Morrissey, M., Dever, N., O'Mahony, C., Romanowski, S., & Boland, M. (2023). A methodological approach to intra-action reviews - application and adaptation of existing global guidance during the COVID-19 pandemic response in Ireland, 2021. *Eurosurveillance*, 28(13), 2200475.
- Owolabi, O., Olatoye, F., Elufioye, O., & Okunade, B. (2024). Healthcare management in a post-pandemic world: lessons learned and future preparedness: A review. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), 1843-1852.
- Pahomii, I. (2023). Changes in mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic in Moldova. *Economy and Sociology*, (2), 63-72.
- Pang, P., Jiang, W., Pu, G., Chan, K., & Lau, Y. (2022). Social media engagement in two governmental schemes during the COVID-19 pandemic in Macao. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(15), 8976.
- Parlak, S., Tunç, E., Eryiğit, D., & Uluman, M. (2022). Relationships between hostility, resilience and intolerance of uncertainty: A structural equation modeling. *Journal of Evidence-Based Psychotherapies*, 22(1), 3-20.
- Pennisi, F., Genovese, C., & Gianfredi, V. (2024). Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic: Promoting vaccination and public health resilience, a narrative review. *Vaccines*, 12(8), 891.
- Raoufi, M., & Fayek, A. (2022). New modes of operating for construction organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges, actions, and future best practices. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 38(2). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)me.1943-5479.0001009](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0001009)
- Ravenhall, S., Levy, N., Simpson, K., Fleming, M., Arana, M., DiManno, P., & Murrman, M. (2021). New York State Local Health Department preparedness for and response to the COVID-19 Pandemic: An in-progress review. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 27(3), 240-245.
- Sabbaghi, M., Namazinia, M., & Miri, K. (2023). Time indices of pre-hospital EMS missions before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in Iran. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 23(1), 9.
- Savanevičienė, A., Radvila, G., & Šilingienė, V. (2021). Structural changes of organizational maturity during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of Lithuania. *Sustainability*, 13(24), 13978.
- Semenza, J., Adlhoch, C., Baka, A., Broberg, E., Cenciarelli, O., Angelis, S., & Penttinen, P. (2021). COVID-19 research priorities for non-pharmaceutical public health and social measures. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 149, e87.
- Shah, S., Akhtar, M., & Qureshi, R. (2023). Reclaiming public spaces amidst COVID-19 pandemic: tactical urbanism as a 'resilience' response. *Journal of Art Architecture and Built Environment*, 6(2), 54-79.
- Shelton, S., Nelson, C., Sullivan, M., Wroblewski, K., Mueller, E., & Wolford, T. (2024). Strengthening the us public health lab system: Summary of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) after action review workshop. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 30(5), 710-717.
- Singh, S. (2021). Crisis response framework and public policy response. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 67(3), 396-412.
- Southworth, F., Chalmers, D., Reedy, G., Amlôt, R., & Skryabina, E. (2024). Organisational learning from the public health response to the COVID-19 pandemic: Findings from a qualitative interview study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12, 1411346

- Sridhar, S., & Rabbani, S. (2021). Pharmaceutical care services provided by pharmacists during COVID-19 pandemic: Perspectives from around the world. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Services Research*, 12(3), 463-468.
- Sripad, P., Gottert, A., Abuya, T., Casseus, A., Hossain, S., Agarwal, S., & Warren, C. (2022). Confirming-and testing-bonds of trust: a mixed methods study exploring community health workers' experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh, Haiti, and Kenya. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 2(10), e0000595.
- Tùng, L. (2022). Relationship between the COVID-19 pandemic and the macroeconomic indicators: evidence from a global analysis. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence*, 16(1), 785-791.
- Vainauskienė, V., & Vaitkienė, R. (2022). Challenges to the learning organization in the context of COVID-19 pandemic uncertainty: Creativity-based response. *Creativity Studies*, 15(2), 332-347.
- Welden, L., Chen, C., Willegal-Russ, K., & Kalb, E. (2023). Nurse resiliency and health in practicing nurses before and during COVID-19. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*, 53(7/8), 420-428.
- Williams, O., Yung, K., & Grépin, K. (2021). The failure of private health services: COVID-19 induced crises in low- and middle-income country (LMIC) health systems. *Global Public Health*, 16(8-9), 1320-1333.
- Yi, F., Woo, J., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Community resilience and COVID-19: A fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis of resilience attributes in 16 countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(1), 474.
- Zanjani, Z., Joekear, S., & Omid, A. (2021). The mental health and job burnout of nurses during the COVID-19 outbreak: Resilience as a mediator. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*, 15(2), e109925.
- Zhang, X., Warner, M., & Meredith, G. (2023). Factors limiting us public health emergency authority during covid-19. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 38(5), 1569-1582.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).